

Report from the Annual/Merit Review Task Force

Convened Jointly by the Provost's Office & The Academic Senate, 2021-2023

Co-Chairs: Jessica Cantiello (Dornsife) & Larry Picus (Rossier)

Charge

- “Perform a broad survey of faculty merit/annual review processes at each school to identify both common practices and heterogeneity of the merit processes; catalog effective practices; and make recommendations to improve merit review at USC.”

Context

- **Pandemic/Pause of Merit Raises (FY 2021)**
- **FEEC Survey of Junior Faculty (2021-2022)**
 - **Key Recommendation: “overhaul merit review”**
- **Academic Senate Resolution re: Annual COLA (2022)**
- **President Folt’s commitment to “address compensation inequities and systemically raise salaries” (2022)**
- **Academic Senate Resolution re: Compensation Reporting (2023)**

Methodology

- **Qualitative Data Gathering**
- **Analysis of Relevant Results of FEEC Survey**
- **Review of Responses to Task Force Data Request in Joint Memo from the Provost/Academic Senate**
 - Mean, median, and mode merit review scores for each department, program, or division
 - Any communications sent from the school administration pertaining to how salary adjustments are determined (i.e. merit vs. equity vs. promotion distinction)
 - Any communications that explain how merit review scores in particular relate to fixed salary percentage increases

Findings

- **Lack of Clarity and Transparency about the Process, especially its Relationship to Raises**
- **Feedback and a Lack of Professional Development Application**
- **Concerns about Fairness and Subjectivity**



Lack of Faculty Buy-In

Recommendations

- 1. Improve Transparency and Communication about Individual Scores and the Overall Process/Outcomes**
- 2. Directly and Universally Tie Merit Pay Increases to Merit Scores within a Given Unit**
- 3. Ensure Fairness and Equity in the Process of Assigning Scores and Salary Adjustments**
- 4. Involve Faculty in the Development of Merit Review Processes**