1	
2	ACADEMIC SENATE
3	
4	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
5	Special Meeting of the Academic Senate
6	May 23, 2018
7	GER 230
8	3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.
9	
10	Present: S. Ahmadi, J. Ailshire (alternate for S. Curran), S. Altman, J. Armour, S. Asgharzadeh, Y. Bar
11	Cohen, S. Bucher, P. Cannon, D. Crombeque, S. Dincer (alternate for J. Son), E. Fife, K. Finney, L. Grazzette
12	E. Grossman (alternate for S. Little), S. Gruskin, E. Horowitz, R. Jubran, A. Justice, J. Kagan, R. Labaree, M.
13	Lee, J. McLaughlin Gray (alternate for C. Resnik), P.T. McNiff, E. Pacifici, G. Painter, J. Parr, D. Pecchening
14	M. Polikoff, R. Randhawa, P. Rosenbloom, S. Shroyer, T. Tambascia, T. Tucker, A. Uyeshiro, E. Warford, C.
15 16	Zada. Absent: M. Apostolos, L. Bahn, D. Brooks, R. Broyer, L. Castaneda, P. Chang, S. Daneshmand, R. Davila
17	M. Frey, A. Goldkorn, N. Hollyn, J. Israel, G. Polidori, J. Sapkin, K. Servis, J. Steele, A. Wilcox.
18	12. 1105, 11. Columbin, 11. Hongin, v. Estaci, G. 1 Gildon, v. Bapinin, 11. Bol (ib, v. Beccie, 12. (ib)
19	
20	AGENDA
21	
22	Paul Rosenbloom, Academic Senate President, called the meeting to order at 3:51pm.
23	
24	Professor Rosenbloom noted that the purpose of this special meeting was to discuss the situation
25	regarding Dr. Tyndall, to consider a broader question about the leadership of the university, and
26	to have open discussion on related topics. The meeting followed immediately after a Town Hall
27	meeting that the Senate had instigated to hear faculty concerns. Earlier, the Senate had also met
28	with President Nikias and members of his cabinet, and had heard from the Office of Equity and
29	Diversity about the investigation into Dr. Tyndall.
30	
31	Discussion regarding President C.L. Max Nikias and Senior Leadership
32	The discussion began with the question of whether the Senate should vote on whether it has no-
33	confidence in President Nikias to continue as USC's President, and if so, whether that vote should
34	be taken at this meeting or later after senators will have had more time to discuss this issue with
35	their faculty.
36	
37	Senators reported on feedback from their faculty councils. The Rossier, Gould, and Gerontology
38	school councils have voted no confidence in President Nikias, or requested his resignation. It was
39	mentioned that the Keck faculty council appeared mixed, but had not yet formally voted. Other
40	schools reported that they are in the process of voting, or are still soliciting feedback, or have not
41	yet had a chance to consult their faculty.
42	
43	Senators reflected on the differing voices and opinions they had heard. Several Senators
44	expressed concern that President Nikias has not sufficiently engaged with faculty, that his
45	administration has lacked transparency, and that this has contributed to a lack of trust in the
46	President. Several Senators expressed that President Nikias may not be the person that can lead
47	the change that is needed at the university.

Discussion regarding Senators voting on behalf of Faculty

A discussion was had regarding the role and responsibility of senators in voting on Senate resolutions. Some senators expressed concern that they had not yet had a chance to fully receive feedback from their faculty councils or their school's faculty, which would allow them to make a more representative decision. Other senators reported that they had consulted their faculty and that their faculty councils had already taken a vote of no confidence in President Nikias. Others noted that the senators present at this meeting had been present at both the earlier meeting with President Nikias and the Town Hall, and were listening to today's discussion, so that they were able to weigh the issues and then vote as representatives of their schools.

Discussion regarding the scope of a resolution

A discussion took place regarding the content of a resolution to be voted on: whether it should only call for the possible resignation of/no confidence in President Nikias, or should also contain other statements. It was concluded that the resolution should focus on the question of President Nikias' resignation, with other topics to be addressed later.

Vote on timing for when the Senate should hold a vote on the resolution

Several senators expressed concern about voting today, stating that they preferred to get more feedback from their faculty before voting. Others felt that waiting until Friday would merely delay necessary action, and that a vote would be most appropriate today.

A vote was taken to determine when the Senate should vote on a resolution concerning a call for President Nikias' resignation. The specific question was "Should we vote on a resolution today?"

18 in favor; 12 opposed; 0 abstentions.

Accordingly, the vote will be held today.

Vote on the resolution to call for the resignation of President C.L. Nikias

"We the Academic Senate, as the elected body of the Faculty of the University of Southern California, believe that new leadership is in the best interest of the University now and going forward. Therefore, we call on President Max Nikias to resign."

[Correction: President Nikias' name was corrected to President C. L. Max Nikias in the resolution after the meeting.]

Morgan Polikoff moved to approve the resolution; Scott Altman seconded; 24 in favor; 0 opposed; 4 abstentions.

During the voting process, an unauthorized visitor (a reporter from the LA Times) was identified and asked to leave the room, as the Senate was in executive session at the time.

Link to final resolution:

https://academicsenate.usc.edu/files/2018/05/Resolution-17 18 03 -call-for-Resignation.pdf

<u>Adjournment</u>

Meeting was adjourned at 5:13 pm.

959697 Respectfully submitted,98

99 100

101 Mike S. Lee

102

Secretary General of the Academic Senate

