1	
2	ACADEMIC SENATE
3	, to to = 1.11.0 o = 1.11.1 o
4	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
5	Meeting of February 21, 2018
6	University Club, Scriptorium Room
7	2:00 - 4:00 p.m.
8	
9	Present: J. Ailshire (alternate for S. Curran), L. Bahn, Y. Bar-Cohen, D. Brooks, R. Broyer, S. Bucher, P.
10	Cannon, L. Castaneda, P. Chang, R. Davila, E. Fife, K. Finney, N. Hollyn, E. Horowitz, R. Jubran, A.
11 12	Justice, J. Kagan, M. Lee, S. Little, J. McLaughlin Gray (alternate for C. Resnik), P.T. McNiff, J. Parr, D. Pecchenino, G. Polidori, M. Polikoff, G. Ragusa, R. Randhawa, P. Rosenbloom, J. Son, N. Stoubis, T.
13	Tambascia, T. Tucker, A. Uyeshiro
14 15	Absent: S.A. Altman, M. Apostolos, S. Asgharzadeh, D. Crombeque, S. Daneshmand, M. Frey, S.M. Gibson, A. Goldkorn, L. Grazzette, S. Gruskin, E. Pacifici, G. Painter, K. Servis, S.
16	Shroyer, J. Steele, A.G. Wilcox, G. Zada. Crosts M. Levine, R.S. von Alen, I. Bredheed, T. Connetto, E. Currid Kellett, T. Binkston, M. Todd
17 18	Guests: M. Levine, R.S. von Alen, J. Brodhead, T. Capretta, E. Currid-Kalkett, T. Pinkston, M. Todd, L. Golubchik
19	L. Goldbelik
20	
21	AGENDA
22	
23	Paul Rosenbloom, Academic Senate President, called the meeting to order at 2:03 pm and
24	introduced the guests of the Senate.
25	
26	Approval of December & January Senate meeting draft minutes
27	Mike Lee, Secretary General, presented the December & January draft minutes for discussion and
28	approval.
29	Lawrence Margary manual to any ways Danambay minutas. Navanan Hallun accounded: 25 in favors O
30 31	Jeremy Kagan moved to approve December minutes; Norman Hollyn seconded; 25 in favor; 0 opposed; 1 abstentions.
32	opposed, 1 abstentions.
33	P.T. McNiff moved to approve January minutes; Rebecca Broyer seconded; 25 in favor; 0 opposed;
34	0 abstentions.
3 4	o absteritions.
36	Vanderbilt Co-worker Reporting System (CORS)
37	John Brodhead, Chief of Medicine at the Keck Hospital of USC, and Tammy Capretta, Chief
38	Administrative Integration and Risk Officer, Keck Medicine of USC, presented the Vanderbilt Co-
39	Worker Observation and Reporting System (CORS).
40	
41	"The Vanderbilt Program facilitates the delivery of patient and coworker complaints through a
42	peer to peer delivery system and offers the professional the opportunity to self-correct. A tiered,
43	graduated coaching model provides a progressive pathway to allow the professional to correct a
44	high complaint pattern through the following: peer to peer coaching; joint action planning; and
45	referral to peer review." This system is used at many institutions including Stanford, the UC
46	system, Yale, Emory, John's Hopkins, University of Pennsylvania, Cornell, Columbia, and Loyola.
47	
48 49	Keck Medical System has recently implemented the CORS system at the hospitals and is about 30% of the way through a 4-year implementation. They are considering deploying across the

whole HSC campus.

53

The driving premise was that professionals will self-correct. Key elements of the implementation

included the creation of a statement of professional standards, peer-to-peer coaching and a

commitment to an intervention model. The peer-to-peer coaching themes are:

disrespectful/unkind communication; failure to comply with policy and procedures that might

impact the safety of the environment; and failure to be accessible. Over 2000 inputs were

collected by physicians who staffed a traveling board which asked "what exhibits professionalism

to you." This became the statement of professional conduct – "Keck Commitment."

58 59 60

61 62

63

57

Tiers of the program are: "Cup of Coffee" – peer-to-peer coaching; Level 1 – "Cup of Espresso" –

awareness peer coaching; Level 2 – "Guided Authority" Coaching; and level 3: Referral to Peer

Review. As of February 2018, there are 69 peer coaches trained, 84 out of 900 physicians have

been addressed by peer coaches, 18 providers elevated to Level 1, 5 providers elevated to Level 2,

3 providers elevated to level 3, and 2 providers have departed.

646566

67

Reference link:

https://academicsenate.usc.edu/files/2018/02/Professionalism-Presentation Academic-Senate-2-

68 **23-18.pptx**

69 70

71 72

73

Update on False Active Shooter Incident

Norman Hollyn, Executive Board At Large Member, provided a summary of the false active shooter situation that occurred on October 2, 2018 at Fertitta Hall. This summary was based on a discussion with John Thomas, Chief of the Department of Public Safety, and Deputy Chief David Carlisle.

74 75

76

77

78 79

80

On October 2, 2017, Department of Public Safety (DPS) received calls that there was an active shooter at Fertitta Hall. DPS looked at closed caption video and saw nothing to corroborate. They sent officers to confirm in person. DPS notified LAPD of the situation and LAPD dispatched officers to USC. The LAPD officers broke into groups of five to perform searches, which found no evidence

of an active shooter. Within an hour of the initial calls, DPS sent out a message, through Trojan

Alert, that there was no evidence of gunfire and campus activities may resume.

818283

This incident provided an opportunity to test USC's preparation for crisis.

8485

What worked well was the communication between DPS and LAPD. LAPD officers were onsite

within minutes after the first call.

8788

89

90

86

What didn't work included internal communications. Trojan Alert was turned on by 30% of students and lower levels for faculty. There were some students that arrived after the incident

started and there was no way of alerting them. Some faculty ban smart phones in classroom, so

those students would not have known even if they activated Trojan Alert.

91 92 93

Additional issues included reports of people being locked out of buildings and faculty not knowing

what to do. Students seemed to know better than faculty concerning what to do. Some faculty

just told the students to run away.

959697

98

99

94

Senators provided feedback that faculty need training on how to respond during crises, DPS's

alerts should be more informative (e.g. give more details on location), and the need for location

specific information (e.g. do the doors lock).

102

Viterbi Child-Care Subsidy Program

Elizabeth Currid-Halkett, Chair, Work and Family Life Committee, and Timothy Pinkston, Vice Dean for Faculty Affairs, Viterbi School of Engineering presented the Viterbi Childcare Subsidy Initiative.

103104105

106107

108109

A group of Viterbi faculty came to the dean and presented the need and concerns about sustainable childcare for new born or newly adopted. They isolated the real problem – gap between when the child is ready for UPC/HSC childcare centers and when a slot is available for the child to attend. Waiting time to enroll a new child in a center can still be significant – from weeks to months. During these wait times, parents oftentimes must engage other individualized childcare options which costs more than a traditional childcare center.

110111112

113

114

115116

117118

The Viterbi Childcare Subsidy Initiative provides "financial assistance during the initial temporary period of transitioning into a stable state of childcare" for up to 6 months of \$1000 to \$2000 a month (lower the rank, the higher the subsidy). To qualify for the full 6 months of subsidy, faculty needs to be full-time, must be on the wait-list at one of USC's (or any approved) childcare center at least six months prior to the estimated date of birth or adoption, and the child must be 5 or younger. The subsidy will terminate once the parent is removed from the wait-list or if child is enrolled. If a faculty member is on the wait-list less than 6 months, they still qualify for 3 months of subsidy.

119120121

This initiative is being presented to the Academic Senate to see if this should be made university-wide.

122123124

125

126

127128

Senators expressed concern that the number of births were underestimated, especially if this becomes available as a subsidy (e.g. people who take paternity leave). Additional concern was that faculty may put their future kids on the daycare waitlist just to get the subsidy and they opt out after they get offered a spot. Timothy Pinkston responded that Viterbi is taking the approach that they trust the faculty to not game the system. Senators also expressed concern about how such a program would be funded if offered university-wide.

129130131

Paul Rosenbloom thanked them for their presentation and stated that executive board will keep this under consideration.

132133134

- Reference link:
- 135 <u>https://academicsenate.usc.edu/files/2018/02/MEMO-Viterbi-Childcare-Subsidy-Assistance-</u>
- 136 Initiative Faculty 02-01-2018.pdf

137138

- Conversion of Doheny Library Reading Room into a Hall of Faculty
- 139 Marty Levine, Vice Provost and Senior Advisor to the Provost presented the conversion of Doheny 140 Library Reading Room to a Hall of Faculty.

141

Provost has been very interested in recognizing the work of faculty. A Hall of Faculty to honor faculty was discussed to be used for faculty exhibits and events. Provost was able to make a Doheny Library Reading Room (DML 121) available for this purpose. Currently this room is used as a periodicals room. An outside design firm has been brought in to do the design and perform a feasibility analysis. The university club was also considered for this purpose.

147148

Uses for this hall will include recruitment of faculty and students, faculty meetings (e.g. Academic

149 150 151	Senate meetings), and permanent/temporary exhibits honoring faculty. Think of this as what Heritage Hall is for sports, this will be for faculty.
152 153	Physical construction in Doheny should be done this fiscal year. Goal is to have something by the beginning of the next academic year.
154 155 156	Contact Marty Levine directly with any questions or suggestions.
150 157	Reference link:
158	https://academicsenate.usc.edu/files/2018/03/2018-01-22 USC DML121 SD-
158 159	Presentation FINAL.small .pdf
160	rresentation rival.smail .pur
161	Provost Office Update (not on agenda)
162	Marty Levine provided brief updates from the Provost office on faculty sanctioning committee and
163 164	investigative unit for non-protected classes that was presented in the January senate meeting.
165	As a prototype, a faculty sanctioning committee has been appointed, but has not had a case yet.
166	Provost authorized the funds to hire two investigators to handle cases involving non-protected
167	classes. Those jobs are posted, but not yet filled.
168	
169	Joint Provost/Senate Retreat
170	Paul Rosenbloom announced that the main topic for the Joint Provost/Senate Retreat in February
171	will be a subset of the topics addressed by the interim recommendations from the Task Force on
172	Workplace Standards and Employee Wellness.
173	
174 175	Speakers and sessions will address values and culture, addressing concerns, and strengthening our shared governance and leadership. Sessions include a panel and break out group/Q&A sessions.
176 177	Reference link:
177 178	https://academicsenate.usc.edu/meetings/joint-provostacademic-senate-retreat/
178 179	intips.//academicseriate.usc.edu/meetings/joint-provostacademic-seriate-retreat/
180	New Business
181	Motorized vehicles on campus. This topic is currently being looked at by the Faculty Environment
182	and Employment Committee.
183	
184	Request for update on Project Renewal. This topic was going to be discussed in this meeting but
185	was deferred to a later meeting due to lack of time.
186	
187	<u>Adjournment</u>
188	Meeting was adjourned at 3:55 pm.
189	
190	
191	Respectfully submitted,
192	
193 194	Mil 5.2

196

Mike S. Lee

Secretary General of the Academic Senate